IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 27TH APRIL 2007 / 7TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 13997 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, REPRESENTED
BY ITS REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY POST,
THENHIPPALAM, MALAPPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE ASSISTANT PROVIDENT COMMISSIONER,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION,
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, BHAVISHYA NIDHI BHAVAN,
P.B.NO.1806, ERANHIPALAM, CALICUT.

2. THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL UNDER THE
EPF & MP ACT, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
SKYLARK BUILDING, 60 NEHRU PLACE, NEW DELHI.

BY ADV. SRI. THOMAS MATHEW NELLIMOOTTIL.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

WP.(C) No.13997 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 27th day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext.P10. Ext.P10 is an order by which the petitioner was called upon to pay 50% of the amount due.

2. Heard counsel for the petitioner and the counsel appearing for the first respondent.

3. I am not satisfied that the petitioner has made out a case for interference as such. The demand is for 50% of the amount. It is pointed out by the learned counsel appearing for the first respondent that actually the amount payable is 75%. But at the same time, the petitioner being a University, some time should be granted to deposit the amount.

In such circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing that if the petitioner deposits the amount demanded in Ext.P10 within a period of six weeks from today, the appeal will be entertained and disposed of on merits.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb